**Guidelines for reviewing QBI-TAU grant proposals**

Thank you for agreeing to review the proposals. The scientific part of the proposal is intentionally very short so that reviewing can be done with little time investment.

The QBI-TAU proposal reviewing is done by TAU and UCSF faculty only, so we ask that you make a special effort to cooperate. We cannot do this without your help!

We request that you provide both scores and textual summary of your evaluation. Your evaluation will remain confidential, to be used by the review committee, and will not be delivered to the authors of the proposal.

On the form below, please score each component according to the NIH scale below.

* Computational biology and drug discovery relevance\*
* The boldness of approach and idea
* Will affect or influence a new direction in computational biology and drug discover
* Strong collaborations between researchers at QBI and TAU.
* Potential to develop into a larger collaboration and attract additional funding

\*While experimental work may be supported to a significant degree, the evaluation will focus on computational biology and drug discovery innovation. Applications that are primarily experimental with minimal or routine computational analysis will be deemed not eligible.

Please follow the NIH scoring system below. Specifically, scores for each criterion are whole numbers (1=exceptional; 9 =poor). A score of 5 is a good, medium-impact application.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Overall Impact or Criterion Strength** | **Score** | **Descriptor** |
| **High** | 1 | **Exceptional** |
| 2 | **Outstanding** |
| 3 | **Excellent** |
| **Medium** | 4 | **Very Good** |
| 5 | **Good** |
| 6 | **Satisfactory** |
| **Low** | 7 | **Fair** |
| 8 | **Marginal** |
| 9 | **Poor** |

**Please summarize your evaluation in words,** to help us understand the reasons for your scores and to allow us to calibrate among different evaluations.

Please provide also an **overall evaluation score**, assessing the project’s likelihood to have a sustained, powerful influence on computational biology and drug design, and to involve meaningful collaboration between the institutions. The overall evaluation score need not be the average of the criterion scores.

**QBI-TAU grant review form**

**Proposal authors:**

**Reviewer name:**

Scores in whole numbers (1=exceptional; 9 =poor). See guidelines.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Component | Score |
| Computational biology and drug discovery relevance |  |
| The boldness of approach and idea |  |
| If successful, will affect or influence a new direction in computational biology and drug discovery |  |
| Strong collaborations between researchers at QBI and TAU |  |
| Potential to develop into a larger collaboration and attract additional funding |  |
| Appropriateness of personnel and budget |  |

**Textual evaluation:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Overall evaluation score:** |  |